PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D	03	MAR	2006
		•	

WIPO PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PU040276	FOR FURTHER ACTION	ON S	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
International application No. PCT/US2004/033712	International filing date (day)	/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 14.10.2003		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC H04N7/26					
Applicant THOMSON LICENSING et al.					
This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.					
2. This REPORT consists of a total	of 7 sheets, including this	cover sheet.			
3 This report is also accompanied to	by ANNEXES, comprising:				
a 🕅 sent to the applicant and t	to the International Bureau,) a total of 2 sheets,	, as follows:		
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).					
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the					
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).					
This report contains indications relating to the following items:					
☑ Box No. I Basis of the o	pinion				
□ Box No. II Priority					
☐ Box No. III Non-establish	ment of opinion with regard	d to novelty, inventive	e step and industrial applicability		
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of	of invention				
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
☐ Box No. VI Certain docur					
☐ Box No. VII Certain defec	ts in the international appli	cation			
☐ Box No. VIII Certain obser	vations on the internationa	application			
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of	this report		
17.06.2005		27.02.2006			
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:		Authorized Officer	September 19 . E		
European Patent Office - Gitschiner Str. 103 D-10958 Berlin Heising, G					
Tel. +49 30 25901 - 0 Fax: +49 30 25901 - 840		Telephone No. +49 3	0 25901-407		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/033712

	Box No. I Basis of the report				
1.	With regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	☐ This report is based on trans which is the language of a tra	lations from the original language into the following language , anslation furnished for the purposes of:			
	 ☐ international search (under publication of the international preliminary experiments) 	 ☐ international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) ☐ publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) ☐ international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3) 			
2.	If the regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which ave been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this eport as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):				
	Description, Pages				
	1-17	as originally filed			
	Claims, Numbers				
	2-5, 7, 9, 10	as originally filed			
	1, 6, 8	filed with telefax on 17.06.2005			
Claims, Pages					
	18, 19	filed with telefax on 17.06.2005			
	Drawings, Sheets				
1/2, 2/2		received on 14.01.2005 with letter of 09.12.2004			
	☐ a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing				
3. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
	the description, pages				
	☐ the claims, Nos.☐ the drawings, sheets/fig	s			
	The sequence listing (st	pecify):			
	any table(s) related to s				
4. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed that not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).					
	☐ the description, pages				
	the claims, Nos.the drawings, sheets/figure	18			
	☐ the sequence listing (s	pecify):			
	□ any table(s) related to	sequence listing (specify):			
	* If item 4 applies,	some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 1-10

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims 2-5,7,8

No: Claims 1,6,9,10

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-10

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Re Item V.

- 1 The following documents are referred to in this communication:
 - D1: CHRISTINA GOMILA, ALEXANDER KOBILANSKY: "SEI message for film grain encoding" JVT OF ISO IEC MPEG AND ITU-T VCEG JVT-H022, 23 May 2003 (2003-05-23), pages 1-14, XP002308742 GENEVA, SWITZERLAND
 - D2: CHRISTINA GOMILA: "SEI message for film grain encoding: syntax and results" JVT OF ISO IEC MPEG AND ITU-T VCEG JVT-I013 REVISION 2, 2 September 2003 (2003-09-02), pages 1-11, XP002308743 SAN DIEGO, CA, USA
 - D3: US-A-5 641 596 (GRAY ET AL) 24 June 1997 (1997-06-24)
 - D4: GISLE BRONTEGAARD: "Addition of comfort noise as post processing" ITU-T STUDY GROUP 16, VIDEO CODING EXPERTS GROUP, DOCUMENT Q15B15, 8 September 1997 (1997-09-08), pages 1-2, XP002319278 SUNRIVER, OREGON, USA

2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

Document D1 discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document):

A method for reducing subjective artifacts in a video image, comprising the steps: receiving supplemental information that includes at least one parameter that specifies an attribute of comfort noise for addition to an image;

(D1: figure 1 with "SEI message with film grain parameters")

generating the temporally correlated noise; and adding such noise to the image at a level in accordance with the at least one parameter, to substantially hide artifacts.

(D1: page 5, lines 13-15 with equation 1 and page 6, equation 3, with noise G(t) being correlated to the noise G(t-1) of the previous image by temporal correlation factor v, and added to the decoded image I(t). According to D1: page 4, paragraph 1, lines 3-7 the *level* is specified by the SEI parameters.)

The subject-matter of claim 1 therefore differs from this known D1 in that:

making a determination, in accordance with the at least one parameter, whether to add temporally correlated noise.

The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as:

Adding the noise only to those image signals where it is needed.

D1 models the original film grain that was in the images before encoding. Thus, it is clear that the model must also reflect the case where no film grain was in the image or in an image signal component. This is for example the case, when 1) images were produced by CCD cameras instead of motion picture film cameras, or 2) when the film grain is monochromatic, i.e. not present in all colour components. For the first example, it is not clear from D1 how the addition of noise is switched off, but since only the encoder may know about the presence of film grain in the original images, it is obvious that only the encoder can pass the information about on/off switching of noise addition at the decoder by transmitting respective parameters. Furthermore, regarding the second example of monochromatic noise, on page 9, lines 8-10, D1 uses only the noise of the luminance component not the noise of the two other colour components. Since this decision is based on the model, and since the model is controlled by the received parameters it is obvious for the skilled person

Therefore, the skilled person would regard it a normal design procedure to combine all the features set out in claim 1.

that a decision whether to add the noise to an image signal or not is based on the

3 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 6

received image parameters.

Claim 6 is the corresponding apparatus claim to the above method claim 1. It is to be observed that each means of the apparatus is perfectly matching to one corresponding technical feature of the method claim. For the same reasons as given in section 2 above this corresponding apparatus claim 6 does not meet the criteria of

Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 6 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

4 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 9 AND 10

Dependent claims 9 and 10 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of claim 6 to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step, see document D1 and the inventive step reasoning for the monochromatic example in section 2 of this report.

5 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-5 AND 7-8

The combination of the features of dependent claims 2-5 and claims 7-8 are neither known from, nor rendered obvious by, the available prior art. The reasons are as follows:

Claim 2 (and claim 7) comprises the feature of "accessing a look-up table using the block pixel average and picture quantization parameters to obtain weights of temporal correlation factors for weighting the added noise". D1 discloses the principle of temporally correlating noise using a correlation factor v, but is quiet about how to adapt the correlation factor. D2 suggest to adapt the noise level to the block pixel average. D3 discloses the use of a look-up table to store spatial correlation factors (coefficients for noise generation). D4 discloses the adaptation of comfort noise intensity to the quantization parameter of the decoded image. But, since there is no hint to employ the block pixel average (D2) and the quantization factor (D4) to access a look-up table (D3) to obtain the weight of a temporal correlation factor (D1), it is not obvious for the person skilled in the art to combine these features in the way it is done in claim 2 (and claim 7).

Since claims 3-5 (and 8) depend on claim 2 (and claim 7, respectively) their subject-matter is as well novel and inventive.

6 CLAIMS 1-10

Claims 1-10 disclose methods and apparatus for video post-processing applications

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2004/033712

to reduce artifacts. Therefore, the subject-matter of these claims is considered to be industrially applicable according to Article 33 (4) PCT.

PU40276

18

CLAIMS

1 1. A method for reducing subjective artifacts in a video image, comprising the 2 steps of: 3 receiving supplemental information that includes at least one parameter that specifies 4 an attribute of comfort noise for addition to an image; 5 generating the temporally correlated noise; and 6 making a determination, in accordance with the at least one parameter, whether to add 7 temporally correlated noise, and if so, adding such noise to the image at a level in accordance 8 with the at least one parameter, to substantially hide artifacts. 1 2. The method according to claim 1 further comprising the step of generating the 2 temporally correlated noise by the steps of: 3 obtaining a block pixel average; 4 accessing a look-up table using the block pixel average and picture quantization 5 parameters to obtain weights of temporal correlation factors for weighting the added noise. 1 3. The method according to claim 2 wherein the step of accessing a look-up table 2 further comprises the step of accessing a look-up table containing Gaussian random numbers. 1 1 .4. The method according to claim 2 wherein the step of adding temporally 2 correlated noise includes the step of adding temporally correlated noise to one of luma or 3 chroma pixels. 1 5. The method according to claim 4 further comprising the step of adding 2 temporally correlated noise includes adding noise to both luma and chroma pixels. 1 6. Apparatus for reducing subjective artifacts in a video image, comprising: 2 means for receiving supplemental information that includes at least one parameter that 3 specifies an attribute of comfort noise for addition to an image; 4 means for generating the temporally correlated noise; and

SUBSTITUTE SHEET

PU40276

5

6

7

19

- means, responsive to the at least one parameter, for making a determination whether to add temporally correlated noise, and if so for adding such noise to the image at a level in accordance with the at least one parameter, to substantially hide artifacts.
- 7. The apparatus according to claim 6 further comprising:
- 2 means for obtaining a block pixel average;
- means for accessing a look-up table using the block pixel average and picture
- 4 quantization parameters to obtain weights of temporal correlation factors for weighting the
- 5 added noise.
- 1 8. The apparatus according to claim 7 wherein the look up contains Gaussian 2 random numbers.
- 9. The apparatus according to claim 6 wherein the means for adding temporally correlated noise adds temporally correlated noise to one of luma or chroma pixels.
- 1 10. The apparatus according to claim 9 wherein the means for adding temporally correlated noise adds temporally correlated noise to both luma and chroma pixels.

SUBSTITUTE SHEET